

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE 09/525,797 03/15/00 ANAGNOSTOU Α 5218-39B **EXAMINER** HM12/0619 KENNETH D SIBLEY UNGAR, S BELL SELTZER PARK & GIBSON **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER POST OFFICE DRAWER 34009 CHARLOTTE NC 28234 1642 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

06/19/01



Application No. **09/525,797**

Applicant(s)

Anagnostou et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner Ungar Art Unit 1642

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
af - If the be	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
co - Failur - Any	ommunication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 15, 2	2000
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims	·
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>12-15 and 17-25</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims 12-15 and 17-25	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ition Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm	nent(s)	
	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
_	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🔲 lr	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/525,797

Art Unit: 1642

1. Claims 12-15 and 17-22 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Anthony Caputa, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at 703-308-3995. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

Election/Restriction

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claim 12 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising methods of treating solid vascularized tumors wherein the methods differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success wherein the methods differ as follows:

(a) administering erythropoietin simultaneously with the chemotherapeutic agent (claims 13, 18 and 23), (b) administering erythropoietin prior to the chemotherapeutic agent (claims 15 and), (c) administering erythropoietin after the chemotherapeutic agent (claim 15).

Art Unit: 1642

3. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 09/525,797

Art Unit: 1642

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Ungar, PhD whose telephone number is (703) 305-2181. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached at (703) 308-3995. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Effective, February 7, 1998, the Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this

Art Unit: 1642

application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Grqup Art Unit 1642.

Susan Ungar

Primary Patent Examiner

June 18, 2001